

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 \mathcal{A}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/033,380	12/27/2001	James Hong	ACS 57402	7795	
24201 7	590 01/08/2004		EXAMINER		
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			PANTUCK, BRADFORD C		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			3731	. 1	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	—	10/033,380	HONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Bradford C Pantuck	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation app ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
THE I - External after - If the - If No Failu - Any I earne Status 1) 2a)	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. 14ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AE the mailing date of this communication, even if On August 25 th , 2003. This action is non-final.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any	
·	closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims			
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Interpretation is objected to by the Interpretation is a specific and	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang or correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International Cee the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included a 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for eference was included in the first senter	ocuments have been received. Documents have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If or a list of the certified copies not domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. In the first sentence of the specific uage provisional application has b domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No I received in this National Stag received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet. pecific
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	<u>.</u> .
2) Notice	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	0-948) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 10/033,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-53, drawn to a method of manufacturing a stent, classified in class 623,

subclass 1.15.

II. Claims 54-69, drawn to a stent, classified in class 427, subclass 2.25.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the stent does not have to be deposited in a polymer solution, but could be manufactured by pouring a polymer solution on top of it, like glazing donuts at a donut store.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The burden is established because although one might expect the searches to overlap, there is no reason for the searches to be coextensive.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I has the following distinct species:

Application/Control Number: 10/033,380

Art Unit: 3731

Specie 1: Figure 7C (depositing stent in polymeric solution)

Specie 2: Figures 13-15 (manufactured by injecting)

subspecie i: Figure 4 (all rings are biocompatible)

subspecie ii: Figure 8 (alternating polymer/metal rings)

Group II has the following distinct species:

Specie A: Figure 4

Specie B: Figure 5

Specie C: Figures 8 and 9 ("hybrid stent")

Specie D: Figure 10 ("linkless hybrid stent")

Specie E: Figure 11 (polymer rings at the ends)

Specie F: Figure 12A and 12B ("laminated linkless polymer stent")

Specie G: Figure 18

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (and subspecies) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. For example, Applicant could elect Group I, Specie 2, subspecie 1, and declare the specific claims readable thereon. Currently, no claims are generic. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,380

Art Unit: 3731

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Gunther O. Hanke on December 30, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradford C Pantuck whose telephone number is (703) 305-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

BCP

December 30, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700